

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,112		11/13/2003	Mitsumasa Tsuchiya	019519-409	7708
21839	7590	11/14/2005		EXAM	IINER
		GERSOLL PC	LE, HOA VAN		
(INCLUDING BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS) POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1752	

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/706,112	TSUCHIYA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hoa V. Le	1752					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		/					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 S</u>	eptember 2005.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-21 and 23-25</u> is/are pending in the	application						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· <u> </u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24 (broadest), 17-21, 23 and 25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc	epted or b) objected to by the □	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a)-(d) or (f)					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under ou o.o.o. 3 110(a)	, (3) 3. (1).					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/901,676.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)	n□	(0.70, 440)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔛 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [_] Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/706,112 Page 2

Art Unit: 1752

This is in response to Papers filed on 02 September 2005.

- I. The record shows that applicants elects compound Y-1 species. The elected species has been considered and searched. The consideration and search are extended to the applied species being read within the general formula (I-B). Others has not been considered or searched until all of the elected and applied species are overcome.
- II. Claims 24 (broadest), 17-21, 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suzuki et al (5,532,116).

Suzuki et al disclose and teach an alkaline aqueous developing composition comprising up to 4 wt% of a polyoxyethylene (2-50 units) naphtyl ether being read on and/or within the general formula (I-B) in the claims. Please see the whole disclosure of each of the applied reference, especially at col.9:39-42, 10:65-67, 11:7-10, 17:62-64 and 18:6-8).

Suzuki et al do not specify "to a divalent metal" as that in claim 20. Tanka et al disclose and teach the use of a chelating agent. It is reasonable that the known chelating agent in the art is able to chelate a divalent metal as claimed. The

Page 3

language "...to a divalent metal" is a property of a material. It is allowed to request and require applicants to show or provide a convincing evidence to the contrary for a patentability of the claim in accordance with the authority as stated in In re Schreiber, 44 USPO2d 1429.

Suzuki et al do not specify "an electrical conductance..." as that in claim 21. Tanka et al disclose and teach the use of about 90 g/l or their maximum amount to provide a pH solution of up to 14 of the strong charge sodium carbonate and sodium bicarbonate in the aqueous composition. It is reasonable that the aqueous has "an electrical conductance..." as claimed. The language "electrical conductance..." is a property of a material. It is allowed to request and require applicants to show or provide a convincing evidence to the contrary for a patentability of the claim.

Since Suzuki et al are reasonable disclose and teach the claimed embodiments, claims 24(broadest), 17- 21 and 23 are found to be anticipated by Suzuki et al.

IV. Applicant's arguments filed 01 August 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 1752

Applicants urge that Suzuki et al do not disclose or teach a compound of the general formula (I-B) and its using amount in the claims. Please see Suzuki et al teachings at col.9:39-42, 10:65-67, 11:7-10.

- V. Toyama et al (4,880,724) at col.4:61-63 is cited to show the teachings and suggestions of the use of "EDTA, NTA, etc." as chelating agent in the art.
- VI. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoa V. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1332.

The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 AM to 4:30 PM on Monday though Thursday and about the same time of most Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526.

Applicants may file a paper by (1) fax with a central facsimile receiving number 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

Art Unit: 1752

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hoa V. Le Primary Examiner Art Unit 1752

HVL 09 November 2005 HOA VAN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER